

## **Response received from William Clark (Aluline Group)**

**Do you agree with our objectives for setting WSSL licence fees? Are there any objectives you would like to suggest?**

Suggest full scope of water companies profit should be included in calculation?

**Do you agree that we should use a single basis for charging WSSL licence fees for licensees supplying eligible Welsh customers as well as those operating in England?**

Yes

**Do you agree with our proposals for reconciling licence fees?**

We agree with proviso all revenue streams are examined private companies given powers of an act should be discouraged from setting up shell companies to take business from SMEs. With monopoly comes responsibility regulators also have individually a duty of care.

**Do you agree with our proposals for setting licence fees based on a fixed element covering 10% of the costs of running the WSSL regime?**

No

**Do you agree with our proposals for setting licence fees based on a variable element, covering 90% of the costs of running the WSSL regime, based on market share?**

Yes

**Do you agree that wholesale charges paid is the most appropriate basis for calculating the variable element of the WSSL license fee?**

Yes + ALL the profit streams from both companies wholesale & retail including ancillary business created from monopoly!

**Have you any other comments in response to this consultation?**

We cannot detect in your consultation any reference to Highways/Rainwater tax imposed by water companies.

We cannot detect mentions of sewage charges or derivatives to charges imposed.

We are keen to gather information on fines / licences imposed by water companies e.g. who receives fines or licence fees.

We realise this is meant as exercise in funding for regulatory body however we are keen to find out if other subjects are covered ?