

February 2021

Decision Document for the Variation of Independent Water Services Limited's appointment to include Chilton Woods, Sudbury

Decision Document for the Variation of Independent Water Services
Limited's appointment to include Chilton Woods, Sudbury

Variation of Independent Waters Networks Limited's appointment to include Chilton Woods, Sudbury, Suffolk

On 20 February 2020, Ofwat began a consultation on a proposal to vary Independent Water Networks Limited's ("**Independent Water Networks**") appointment in order to become the water and sewerage services provider for a development in Anglian Water Services Limited's ("**Anglian Water**") water and sewerage services area called Chilton Woods, Sudbury ("**the Site**").

The consultation ended on 17 March 2020. During the consultation period, we received representations from one organisation, which we considered in making our decision. On 2 April 2020, we granted Independent Water Networks a variation to its existing appointments to enable it to supply water and sewerage services to the Site.

This notice gives our reasons for making this variation.

Contents

1. Introduction	4
2. The application	6
3. Responses received to the consultation	8
4. Conclusion	9
Appendix 1	9

1. Introduction

The new appointment and variation mechanism, specified by Parliament and set out in primary legislation, allows one company to replace the current company as the provider of water and/or sewerage services for a specific area. This mechanism can be used by new companies to enter the market and by existing companies to expand into areas where they are not the appointed company. In this case, Independent Water Networks applied to replace Anglian Water to become the appointed water and sewerage company for the Site.

A company may apply for a new appointment (or a variation of its existing appointment to serve an additional site) if any of the following three criteria are met:

- None of the premises in the proposed area of appointment is served by the existing appointed company at the time the appointment is made (the “**unserved criterion**”);
- Each premises is likely to be supplied with at least 50 mega litres per year (in England) or at least 250 mega litres per year (in Wales) and the customer in relation to each premises consents (“**the large user criterion**”); or
- The existing water and sewerage supplier in the area consents to the appointment (“**the consent criterion**”).

When considering applications for new appointments and variations, Ofwat operates within the statutory framework set out by Parliament, including our duty to protect consumers, wherever appropriate by promoting effective competition. In particular, in relation to unserved sites, we seek to ensure that the future customers on the site – who do not have a choice of supplier – are adequately protected. When assessing applications for new appointments and variations, the two key policy principles we apply are:

1. Customers, or future customers, should be no worse off than if they had been supplied by the existing appointee; and
2. We must be satisfied that an applicant will be able to finance the proper carrying out of its functions as a water and/or sewerage company.

Entry and expansion (and even the threat of such by potential competitors) can lead to benefits for different customers (such as household and business customers and

developers of new housing sites). Benefits can include price discounts, better services, environmental improvements and innovation in the way services are delivered.

Benefits can also accrue to customers who remain with the existing appointee, because when the existing appointee faces a challenge to its business, that challenge can act as a spur for it to improve its services. We believe the wider benefits of competition through the new appointments and variations mechanism can offset any potential disbenefits for existing customers that might arise. We consider these potential disbenefits in more detail below.

2. The application

Independent Water Networks applied to be the water and sewerage services provider for the Site under the unserved criterion set out in section 7(4)(b) of the Water Industry Act 1991 ("WIA91"). Independent Water Networks will serve the Site by way of a bulk supply and discharge agreement with Anglian Water.

3.1 Unserved status of the Site

To qualify under the unserved criterion, an applicant must show that at the time the appointment is made, none of the premises in the proposed area of appointment are served by the existing appointee.

The Site is a greenfield site. Independent Water Networks has provided correspondence from Anglian Water indicating that the Site is not currently served by public water and sewerage infrastructure and that Anglian Water considers the Site to be unserved. There was a single premises on the site that was disconnected before we grated the variation.

Given the information provided by the application and the incumbent water and sewerage company, we are satisfied that the Site is unserved.

3.2 Financial viability of the proposal

We will only make an appointment if we are satisfied that the proposal poses a low risk of being financially non-viable. We assess the risk of financial viability on a site-by-site basis and also consider the financial position of the company as a whole.

Based on the information available to us, we concluded the Site demonstrates sufficient financial viability, and Independent Water Networks has satisfied us that it can finance its functions and that it is able to properly carry them out.

3.3 Assessment of 'no worse off'

Independent Water Networks will offer the same charges to customers as would have been the case had Anglian Water remained as the provider of water and sewerage services for the Site.

With regard to service levels, we have reviewed Independent Water Network's Codes of Practice and its proposed service levels and compared these to the Codes of Practice and the performance commitments of Anglian Water. Based on this review, we are

satisfied that customers will be offered an appropriate level of service by Independent Water Networks and that overall customers will be 'no worse off' being served by Independent Water Networks instead of by Anglian.

3.4 Effect of appointment on Anglian Water's customers

In considering whether customers will be no worse off, we also looked at the potential effects of this variation on the charges that Anglian Water's existing customer base may face.

The calculation necessarily depends on a range of assumptions, and there are clearly difficulties involved in quantifying the effect on customers of Anglian Water. It is therefore necessary to use a simplified set of figures. We have expressed the effect in 'per bill' terms to try and quantify the possible effect in an easily understandable way. Broadly, we have assessed the potential magnitude of this impact by comparing how much Anglian Water might have expected to receive in revenue from serving the Site directly, were it to serve the Site, with the revenues it might expect from the proposed arrangement with Independent Water Networks.

In this case, we have calculated that if we grant the Site to Independent Water Networks, there will be a negligible impact on the bills of Anglian Water's existing customers.

This impact does not take into account the potential spillover benefits to customers arising from dynamic efficiencies achieved as a result of the competitive process to win new sites.

3.5 Developer choice

Where relevant, we take into consideration the choices of the Site's developer. In this case, the developer – Taylor Wimpey – said that it wanted Independent Water Networks to be the water and sewerage company for the Site.

3. Responses received to the consultation

We received one response to our consultation, from the Consumer Council for Water (“**CCW**”). We considered this response before making the decision to vary Independent Water Network's appointments. The points raised in the response are set out below.

3.1 CCW

Overall, CCW agrees with our assessment that customers will be no worse off if served by Independent Water Networks rather than Anglian Water.

CCW noted that Independent Water Networks generally exceeds the service standards offered by Anglian Water but expressed disappointment that, by setting its charges at the same rate as Anglian Water, Independent Water Networks was not offering any direct financial benefit to customers.

CCW accepted that, given its relative size, it may be appropriate for Independent Water Networks to tailor some of the services that it provides. CCW set out its expectation that Independent Water Networks would offer appropriate, flexible support to any customer in financial difficulty who would otherwise have benefitted from a social tariff. CCW expects Independent Water Networks to keep its services under review in this regard.

CCW noted that our calculations suggested that granting the variation would only lead to negligible increase in the water and sewerage bills for Anglian Water's customers but noted that we had not identified any significant benefits to Anglian Water's existing customers from the proposal.

3.2 Our response


One of our key policies is that customers should be no worse off if an application is granted. An applicant must therefore ensure that overall its new customers will be no worse off in terms of price and service quality than if they had been served by the incumbent. We consider that this requirement has been met by Independent Water Networks. We do not require applicants to offer a better service and price than the incum

4. Conclusion

Having assessed Independent Water's application, and having taken account of the responses we received to our consultation, we decided to grant a variation to Independent Water Networks areas of appointment to allow it to serve the Site for water and sewerage services. This appointment became effective on 3 April 2020.

Appendix 1

Water



PLAN REFERRED TO IN THE VARIATIONS OF THE APPOINTMENTS OF INDEPENDENT WATER NETWORKS LIMITED AND ANGLIAN WATER SERVICES LTD, AS WATER UNDERTAKERS, MADE BY THE WATER SERVICES REGULATION AUTHORITY ON.....3/4/20

ADDRESS: CHILTON WOODS, ACTON LANE, SUDBURY, SUFFOLK, CO10 1PU
OS GRID REFERENCE: 588311, 243117

SCALE: 1:16000
DRAWN BY: CS
DATE: 26/11/2019

0 250 500 m




CHILTON WOODS INSET WATER MAP 2

PROJECT: N0021046



Sewerage



PLAN REFERRED TO IN THE VARIATIONS
OF THE APPOINTMENTS OF INDEPENDENT
WATER NETWORKS LIMITED AND
ANGLIAN WATER SERVICES LIMITED, AS
SEWERAGE UNDERTAKERS, MADE BY THE
WATER SERVICES REGULATION
AUTHORITY ON 3/4/20

ADDRESS: CHILTON WOODS, ACTON LANE,
SUDBURY, SUFFOLK, CO10 1PU
OS GRID REFERENCE: 588311, 243117

SCALE: 1:16000
DRAWN BY: EA
DATE: 02/04/2020

0 250 500 m



CHILTON WOODS INSET SEWERAGE
PROJECT: N0021046

**Ofwat (The Water Services Regulation Authority)
is a non-ministerial government department.
We regulate the water sector in England and Wales.**

Ofwat
Centre City Tower
7 Hill Street
Birmingham B5 4UA

Phone: 0121 644 7500
Fax: 0121 644 7533

© Crown copyright 2021

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information, you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This document is also available from our website at www.ofwat.gov.uk.

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to mailbox@ofwat.gov.uk.

OGL