

August 2021

Variation of Independent Water Networks Limited's appointment to include London Road (Phase 1), Yaxley, Peterborough

About this document

Variation of Independent Water Networks Limited's appointment to include a site called London Road (Phase 1), Yaxley, Peterborough

On 28 July 2021, Ofwat began a consultation on a proposal to vary Independent Water Networks Limited's ("**Independent Water Networks**") appointment to become the water services provider for a development in Anglian Water Services Limited's ("**Anglian Water**") water supply area called London Road (Phase 1), Yaxley, Peterborough in Cambridgeshire ("**the Site**").

The consultation ended on 25 August 2021. During the consultation period, we received representations from three organisations, which we considered in making our decision.

On 7 September 2021, we granted Independent Water Networks a variation to its existing appointment to enable it to supply water to the Site.

This notice gives our reasons for making this variation.

Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| About this document | 1 |
| 1. Introduction | 3 |
| 2. The application | 5 |
| 3. Responses received to the consultation | 7 |
| 4. Conclusion | 9 |
| Appendix 1: Site Map | 9 |

1. Introduction

The new appointment and variation mechanism, specified by Parliament and set out in primary legislation, allows one company to replace the current company as the provider of water and sewerage services for a specific area. This mechanism can be used by new companies to enter the market and by existing companies to expand into areas where they are not the appointed company. In this case, Independent Water Networks applied to replace Anglian Water to become the appointed water company for the Site.

A company may apply for a new appointment (or a variation of its existing appointment to serve an additional site) if any of the following three criteria are met:

- None of the premises in the proposed area of appointment is served by the existing appointed company at the time the appointment is made (the “**unserved criterion**”);
- Each premises is likely to be supplied with at least 50 mega litres per year (in England) or at least 250 mega litres per year (in Wales) and the customer in relation to each premises consents (“**the large user criterion**”);
- The existing water and sewerage supplier in the area consents to the appointment (“**the consent criterion**”).

When considering applications for new appointments and variations, Ofwat operates within the statutory framework set out by Parliament, including our duty to protect consumers, wherever appropriate by promoting effective competition. In particular, in relation to unserved sites, we seek to ensure that the future customers on the site – who do not have a choice of supplier – are adequately protected. When assessing applications for new appointments and variations, the two key policy principles we apply are:

1. Customers, or future customers, should be no worse off than if they had been supplied by the existing appointee; and
2. We must be satisfied that an applicant will be able to finance the proper carrying out of its functions as a water and/or sewerage company.

Entry and expansion (and even the threat of such by potential competitors) can lead to benefits for different customers (such as household and business customers and developers of new housing sites). Benefits can include price discounts, better services, environmental improvements and innovation in the way services are delivered.

Benefits can also accrue to customers who remain with the existing appointee, because when the existing appointee faces a challenge to its business, that challenge can act as a spur for it to improve its services. We believe the wider benefits of competition through the

new appointments and variations mechanism can offset any potential disbenefits for existing customers that might arise. We consider these potential disbenefits in more detail below.

2. The application

Independent Water Networks applied to be the water supply appointee for the Site under the unserved criterion set out in section 7(4)(b) of the Water Industry Act 1991 (“**WIA91**”). Independent Water Networks will serve the Site by way of bulk supply agreement with Anglian Water.

2.1 Unserved status of the Site

To qualify under the unserved criterion, an applicant must show that at the time the appointment is made, none of the premises in the proposed area of appointment is served by the existing appointee.

Independent Water Networks has provided a letter from Anglian Water dated 7 July 2021 confirming that, in its view, the Site is unserved. Having reviewed the facts of this Site, and taking into account the letter from Anglian Water, we are satisfied that the Site is unserved.

2.2 Financial viability of the proposal

We will only make an appointment if we are satisfied that the proposal poses a low risk of being financially non-viable. We assess the risk of financial viability on a site-by-site basis and also consider the financial position of the company as a whole.

Based on the information available to us, we concluded the Site demonstrates sufficient financial viability, and Independent Water Networks has satisfied us that it can finance its functions and that it is able to properly carry them out.

2.3 Assessment of ‘no worse off’

Independent Water Networks will match its charges to the customers on the Site to the charges of Anglian Water.

With regard to service levels, we have reviewed Independent Water Networks' Codes of Practice and its proposed service levels and compared these to the Codes of Practice and the performance commitments of Anglian Water.

Based on this review, we are satisfied that customers will be offered an appropriate level of service by Independent Water Networks and that overall customers will be 'no worse off' being served by Independent Water Networks instead of by Anglian Water.

2.4 Effect of appointment on Anglian Water's customers

In considering whether customers will be no worse off, we also looked at the potential effects of this variation on the price that Anglian Water's existing customer base may face.

The calculation necessarily depends on a range of assumptions, and there are clearly difficulties involved in quantifying the effect on customers of Anglian Water. It is therefore necessary to use a simplified set of figures. We have expressed the effect in 'per bill' terms to try and quantify the possible effect in an easily understandable way. Broadly, we have assessed the potential magnitude of this impact by comparing how much Anglian Water might have expected to receive in revenue from serving the Site directly, were it to serve the Site, with the revenues it might expect from the proposed arrangement with Independent Water Networks.

In this case, we have calculated that if we grant the Site to Independent Water Networks, there may be a £0.001 increase in the water bills of Anglian Water's existing customers.

This impact does not take into account the potential spillover benefits to customers arising from dynamic efficiencies achieved as a result of the competitive process to win new sites.

2.5 Developer choice

Where relevant, we take into consideration the choices of the site developer. In this case, O & H Hampton Limited said that it wanted Independent Water Networks to be the water company for the Site.

3. Responses received to the consultation

We received three responses to our consultation: from the Drinking Water Inspectorate (“**DWI**”), Environment Agency and the Consumer Council for Water (“**CCW**”). We considered these responses before making the decision to vary Independent Water Networks' appointment.

The DWI and the Environment Agency were content and had no comments to make about this consultation and did not have any objections. The points raised in CCW's response are set out below.

3.1 CCW

CCW states that in general it expects new appointees to provide consumers with prices, levels of service and service guarantees that match or, ideally, better those of the incumbent. CCW commented on Ofwat's overall assessment, that customers will be no worse off in terms of the levels of service they will receive from Independent Water Networks than if served by Anglian Water, and CCW agrees with this assessment.

CCW is disappointed that there is no direct financial benefit to customers from having Independent Water Networks as their provider of water services because it intends to match Anglian Water's charges. However, CCW notes that Independent Water Networks offers discounts to those customers who are able to and opt to take up e-billing or pay by direct debit.

CCW notes that Independent Water Networks generally matches or exceeds the service standards of Anglian Water, so overall it supports this application. For example, Independent Water Networks offers greater compensation for low water pressure or failing to read a meter once a year and offers a free leak repair service on customers' external supply pipes.

CCW noted that Independent Water Networks will not be able to offer its financially vulnerable customers a social tariff in the way that Anglian Water does, although it will offer the standard WaterSure tariff for qualifying customers. CCW considers it may be appropriate for Independent Water Networks to tailor some of the services that it provides given its relatively small size. Until it can provide a formal social tariff, CCW expects Independent Water Networks to offer appropriate flexible support to any individual in financial difficulty who would otherwise benefit from a social tariff, adding that this should not be at the expense of its other customers. CCW would expect Independent Water Networks to research the views of its customers on any proposed cross-subsidy before introducing any social tariffs.

CCW noted that Ofwat has calculated that the cost of this variation to Anglian Water's existing customers is around £0.001 per annual water bill once the Site is fully built out. CCW is unclear as to whether there will be any significant benefits arising from this arrangement for Anglian Water's customers. CCW questions the value of the NAV regime if it cannot deliver benefits to customers.

Our response

One of the key policy principles Ofwat considers when assessing NAV applications is that customers overall should be no worse off (in terms of the price and service they receive) than if they had been supplied by the existing appointee.


This requirement has been met by Independent Water Networks in its proposal to improve the levels of service and match the pricing set by Anglian Water. Our assessment does not require applicants to better the service and price of the existing appointee.

4. Conclusion

Having assessed Independent Water Networks' application and having taken account of the responses we received to our consultation, we decided to grant a variation to Independent Water Networks' area of appointment to allow it to serve the Site for water supply.

This appointment became effective on 8 September 2021.

Appendix 1: Site Map – Water



**Ofwat (The Water Services Regulation Authority)
is a non-ministerial government department.
We regulate the water sector in England and Wales.**

Ofwat
Centre City Tower
7 Hill Street
Birmingham B5 4UA
Phone: 0121 644 7500

© Crown copyright 2021

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information, you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This document is also available from our website at www.ofwat.gov.uk.

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to mailbox@ofwat.gov.uk.

OGL